

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No.10/577,577
Filing DateSeptember 21, 2006
Confirmation No.6679
InventorEdward Woods, et al
Assignee.....None
Group Art Unit.....2834
ExaminerNicholas Ponomarenko
Attorney's Docket No.WO34-003
Title:Power Generation Systems and Methods of Generating Power

INTERVIEW SUMMARY of interview
with EXAMINER held on APRIL 13, 2009

To: Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From: D. Brent Kenady (Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424)
Wells St. John P.S.
601 West First Avenue, Suite 1300
Spokane, WA 99201-3828

Applicant's representative held an interview with Examiner Nicholas Ponomarenko on April 13, 2009. Applicant's representative would like to thank Examiner Ponomarenko for his time and attention to this matter.

Applicant's representative clarified for the Examiner that one distinction of the Applicant's claimed invention over the prior art is, as one example only, "**a fluid medium provided to the internal combustion engine and to the generator** for removing thermal energy from the internal combustion engine and from the generator" as positively recited by independent claim 1. That is, one fluid medium removes thermal energy

from **both**, the internal combustion engine and from the generator. The prior art, singularly or in any combination, fails to teach this limitation. The Examiner thanked Applicant's representative for the clarification.

Applicant's representative also pointed out that the positively recited limitation is not indefinite pursuant 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. The Examiner stated he understood with the clarification and requested Applicant's representative provide his arguments in a response.

Again, Applicant's representative would like to thank Examiner Ponomarkenko for his time and attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 4-13-09

By: 
D. Brent Kenady
Reg. No. 40,045